arXiv:1410.3568v2 [math.CA] 25 Nov 2015

On the generalized oblate spheroidal wave functions and applications

Tahar Moumni[a*] and Ammari Amara[b]
[a] University of Carthage, Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte,
Department of Mathematics, Jarzouna, Tunisia.
[b] University of Manar, Faculty of sciences of Tunis,
Department of Mathematics, Tunis, Tunisia.

November 26, 2015

Abstract In this paper, we introduce a new set of functions, which have the property of the completeness over a finite and infinite intervals. This family of functions, denoted for simplicity GOSWFs, are a generalization of the oblate spheroidal wave functions. They generalize also the Jacobi polynomials in some sens. The GOSWFs are nothing but the eigenfunctions of the finite weighted bilateral Laplace transform $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$. We compute this functions by two methods: In the first one we use a differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ which commutes with $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$. In the second one we use the Gaussian quadrature method. As an application, we use the GOSWFs to approximate bilateral weighted Laplace bandlimited functions and we show that they are more advantageous then other classical basis of $L^2((-1,1),(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta)dx$. Finally, we provide the reader by some numerical examples that illustrate the theoretical results.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 45A05, 33E30 . Secondary 42C10, 34L10 .

Key words and phrases. Jacobi polynomials; Oblate spheroidal wave functions; eigenvalues and eigenfunctions; Bilateral weighted Laplace transform; Generalized Oblate spheroidal wave functions.

1 Introduction

Prolate spheroidal wave functions (PSWFs), were first known as the eigenfunctions of the following differential operator, see [16],

$$L_c(\varphi)(x) = (1-x^2)\frac{d^2\varphi}{dx^2} - 2x\frac{d\varphi}{dx} - c^2x^2\varphi. \tag{1}$$

80 years later, D. Slepian, H. Landau and H. Pollack, see [23, 9, 10] have shown that the previous differential operator commutes with the following integral operator

$$F_c(f)(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sin c(x-y)}{\pi(x-y)} f(y)dy. \tag{2}$$

*Corresponding author: E-mail: moumni.tahar1@gmail.com

Also, they have given many properties of the PSWFs of high practical importance, notably the double orthogonality over finite and infinite interval. Three years later, D. Slepian showed in [22] that higher dimensional construction of the PSWFs is reduced to the $2D-$case. Hence, the study of the $2D-$case is potentially important, see [21]. He showed that the circular prolate spheroidal wave function (CPSWFs, for short), is an eigenfunction of the finite Hankel transform. That is

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{cxy} J_\nu(cxy)\psi_{n,c}^\nu(y)dy = \gamma_{n,\nu}(c)\psi_{n,c}^\nu(x), \qquad \nu \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x \in (0,+\infty). \tag{3}$$

For more details about these functions and their computational methods, the reader is referred to [22]. As it is done for the case of the PSWFs, Slepian has proved that the following differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}_c(\varphi)(x) = (1-x^2)\frac{d^2\varphi}{dx^2} - 2x\frac{d\varphi}{dx} + (-c^2x^2 + \frac{\nu^2-1/4}{x^2})\varphi \tag{4}$$

commutes with the finite Hankel transform. Note here, that the differential operators $\mathcal{L}_c$ is a perturbation of the differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\varphi)(x) = (1-x^2)\frac{d^2\varphi}{dx^2} - 2x\frac{d\varphi}{dx} + \frac{\nu^2-1/4}{x^2}\varphi \tag{5}$$

whose eigenfunctions are nothing but

$$T_{k,\nu}(x) = \sqrt{2(2k+\nu+1)}x^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}P_k^{(\nu,0)}(1-2x^2), \ \ k \geq 0 \tag{6}$$

where for $\alpha, \beta > -1$, $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ is the Jacobi polynomial of degree n. In [14], we have extended the CPSWFs to an arbitrary order $\nu > -1$ instead of $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and we showed that CPSWFs share the same property as the PSWFs notably the double orthogonality over finite and infinite interval.

Recently, in [24], the authors have given a new extension of the PSWFs that generalizes the Gegenbauer polynomials to an orthogonal system with an intrinsic tuning parameter $c > 0$. These generalized PSWFs denoted by GPSWFs are defined as the eigenfunctions of a Sturm-Liouville problem $\mathcal{D}_x$, that commutes with an integral operator $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha)}$. These operators are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_x u &= -(1-x^2)^{-\alpha}\frac{d}{dx}\left((1-x^2)^{\alpha+1}\frac{du}{dx}\right) + (c^2x^2)u, \quad \alpha > -1, \quad c > 0, \quad x \in (-1,1), \\ \mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha)}(\varphi)(x) &= \int_{-1}^1 e^{icxt}\varphi(t)(1-t^2)^\alpha\, dt. \end{aligned}$$

The authors have shown that the GPSWFs share similar properties with the PSWFs. Also, they have presented a number of analytic and asymptotic formulae for the GPSWFs as well as their associated eigenvalues. They introduced efficient algorithms for their evaluations.

Remark here that in the special case where $c = 0$ the PSWFs are nothing else the Legendre polynomials, the kth CPSWFs coincides with $T_{k,\nu}(x)$ given by (6) and the kth GPSWFs of Wang is the kth Gegenbauer polynomial. In the literature, the PSWFs and all its above mentioned generalization are called Slepian's functions, this is perhaps due to the "lucky accident" of David Slepian.

A natural question that can be asked is the following: If there is a kind of Slepian's functions that generalize the Jacobi polynomials. In this paper, we answer positively to the previous question and we show that the bounded solutions of the following equation

$$(1-x^2)\frac{\partial^2\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c)}{\partial^2 x} + (\beta-\alpha-(\alpha+\beta+2)x)\frac{\partial\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c)}{\partial x} + (c^2x^2+cx(\beta-\alpha))\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c) = -\chi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c).$$

generalizes in some sense some of the previous mentioned Slepian's functions. In fact, the GOSWFs coincides with

- the PSWFs if $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and $c = i\widetilde{c}$ and therefore with Legendre polynomials if $\alpha = \beta = c = 0$. Here $i^2 = -1$ and $\widetilde{c} > 0$.
- Wang's GPSWFs if $\alpha = \beta$ and $c = i\widetilde{c}$ and therefore with Gegenbauer polynomials if $\alpha = \beta$ and $c = 0$.
- Jacobi polynomials if $c = 0$.
- Chebyshev polynomials of first kind if $\alpha = \beta = \dfrac{-1}{2}$ and $c = 0$.
- Chebyshev polynomials of second kind if $\alpha = \beta = \dfrac{1}{2}$ and $c = 0$.

As an application of the GOSWFs, we show that the GOSWFs are more advantageous in approximate the bilateral weighted Laplace bandlimited functions then other classical basis of $L^2((-1,1),(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta dx)$ such as Jacobi polynomials. We give also several numerical results that illustrate the theoretical ones for different values of the parameters α, β and c.
The outline of the paper is as follows: In section 2 we recall some mathematical preliminaries about Jacobi polynomials and Whittaker functions which will be used frequently later. Section 3 is devoted to define the GOSWFs and present some of their properties. The goal of section 4 is the computation of these functions and their corresponding eigenvalues by two different methods. Finally, in section 5, we use the GOSWFs to approximate bilateral weighted Laplace bandlimited functions and we give some numerical results.

2 Mathematical preliminaries

2.1 Jacobi polynomials

It is well known that for any two real numbers $\alpha, \beta > -1$, the Jacobi polynomial $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ of degree n is given by the following Rodriguez formula, see [20]

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!}(1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta}\frac{d^n}{dx^n}\left((1-x)^{\alpha+n}(1+x)^{\beta+n}\right), n \geq 0. \tag{7}$$

Let $k_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ be the leading coefficient of $P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$. Then $k_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \dfrac{\Gamma(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)}{2^n \cdot n!\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)}$. Moreover, if

$$a_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{2^{(\alpha+\beta+1)}\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{n!(\alpha+\beta+2n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+n+1)},\ n \geq 0,$$

then the set $\{\mathbf{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x),\ n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $(L^2(-1,1), d\omega_{\alpha,\beta})$, where $d\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta dx$ and $\mathbf{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{a_n^{\alpha,\beta}}}P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$. Note here that the normalized Jacobi polynomial $\mathbf{P}_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ satisfy the following differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_x\mathbf{P}_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) &= (1-x^2)\partial_x^2\mathbf{P}_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) + (\beta-\alpha-(\alpha+\beta+2)x)\partial_x\mathbf{P}_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\\ &= -\chi_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(0)\mathbf{P}_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x),\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\chi_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(0) = k(k+\alpha+\beta+1)$. To proceed further, we recall the recurrence relation satisfied by $\mathbf{P}_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$,

$$\mathbf{P}_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = (A_n x - B_n)\mathbf{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) - C_n\mathbf{P}_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x), \tag{9}$$

where

$$A_n = \sqrt{\frac{a_n^{\alpha,\beta}}{a_{n+1}^{\alpha,\beta}}}\frac{(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)}{2(n+1)(n+\alpha+\beta+1)} \quad (10)$$

$$B_n = \sqrt{\frac{a_n^{\alpha,\beta}}{a_{n+1}^{\alpha,\beta}}}\frac{(\beta^2-\alpha^2)(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)}{2(n+1)(n+\alpha+\beta+1)(2n+\alpha+\beta)} \quad (11)$$

$$C_n = \sqrt{\frac{a_{n-1}^{\alpha,\beta}}{a_{n+1}^{\alpha,\beta}}}\frac{(\alpha+n)(\beta+n)(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)}{(n+1)(n+\alpha+\beta+1)(2n+\alpha+\beta)}.$$

Note here that (9) can be written as follows

$$x\mathbf{P}_k^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \alpha_k\mathbf{P}_{k+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)} + \beta_k\mathbf{P}_k^{(\alpha,\beta)} + \gamma_k\mathbf{P}_{k-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}. \quad (12)$$

where

$$\alpha_k = \frac{1}{A_k},\ \beta_k = \frac{B_k}{A_k},\ \gamma_k = \frac{C_k}{A_k}.$$

By multiplying both sides of (12) by x and using (12), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} x^2\mathbf{P}_k^{(\alpha,\beta)} &= \alpha_k\alpha_{k+1}\mathbf{P}_{k+2}^{(\alpha,\beta)} + \alpha_k(\beta_{k+1}+\beta_k)\mathbf{P}_{k+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)} + (\alpha_k\gamma_{k+1} + (\beta_k)^2 + \gamma_k\alpha_{k-1})\mathbf{P}_k^{(\alpha,\beta)} \\ &\quad +\gamma_k(\beta_k+\beta_{k-1})\mathbf{P}_{k-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)} + \gamma_k\gamma_{k-1}\mathbf{P}_{k-2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}, \quad \forall k \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

2.2 Whittaker Functions

The Whittaker functions see [17] arise as solutions to the Whittaker differential equation.

$$\frac{d^2u}{dz^2} + (-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\lambda}{z} + \frac{\frac{1}{4}-\mu^2}{z^2})u = 0. \quad (14)$$

Two solutions are given by the Whittaker functions $M_{\lambda,\mu}(z)$, $W_{\lambda,\mu}(z)$, defined in terms of Kummer's confluent hypergeometric functions M and U by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\lambda,\mu}(z) &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}z}z^{\frac{1}{2}+\mu}M(\frac{1}{2}+\mu-\lambda,\ 1+2\mu,\ z), \\ W_{\lambda,\mu}(z) &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}z}z^{\frac{1}{2}+\mu}U(\frac{1}{2}+\mu-\lambda, 1+2\mu,\ z). \end{aligned}$$

The Whittaker functions $M_{\lambda,\mu}(z)$ satisfy the following integral representations

$$M_{\lambda,\mu}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(1+2\mu)z^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}2^{-2\mu}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\mu-\lambda)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\mu+\lambda)}\int_{-1}^{1} e^{\frac{1}{2}zt}(1+t)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}-\lambda}(1-t)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}+\lambda}dt, Re\mu+\frac{1}{2} > |Re\lambda|. \quad (15)$$

3 Definitions and properties of the GOSWFs

To define the GOSWFs, we introduce the following operator

$$\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}[\phi](x) = \int_{-1}^{1} e^{c(xy-1)}\phi(y)\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(y)dy,\ \ x \in (-1,1), c > 0. \quad (16)$$

Let consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2((-1,1), \omega_{\alpha,\beta}(y)dy)$ as the domain of $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and denote by

$$LB^c_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}} = \left\{ f(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} e^{c(xy-1)} g(y)\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(y)dy, \;\; g \in L^2((-1,1), d\omega_{\alpha,\beta}) \right\} = Rg(\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)})$$

its range. Remark here that straightforward computation shows that the operator $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a bijection between $L^2((-1,1), \omega_{\alpha,\beta}(y)dy)$ and $LB^c_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}}$.

Let now introduce the scalar product defined on the range of $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, denoted for simplicity $Rg(\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Namely, let $f, g \in LB^c_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}}$, i.e. $f = \mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}[F]$ and $g = \mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}[G]$ for some $F, G \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$< f, g >_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} = < F_p, G_p >_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where F_p and G_p are the orthogonal projections of G and F to the orthogonal complement of the null-space of $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, $N(\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)})$. Since $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is one to one then $N(\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}) = \{0\}$, therefore $F = F_p$, $G = G_p$, and

$$< f, g >_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}} = < F, G >_{\mathcal{H}} . \tag{17}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a self adjoint Hilbert Shmidt operator, then its eigenfunctions denoted by $\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c)$, form an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{H} \cap N(\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)})^{\perp} = \mathcal{H}$.

The GOSWFs are defined as follows:

Definition 1. *The GOSWFs are defined as:*

1. *the eigenfunctions of* $\mathcal{Q}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)} = (\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)})^* \circ \mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, *That is*

$$\mathcal{Q}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}[\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}](x) = \int_{-1}^{1} K_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(y)dy = \lambda_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \;\; , x \in (-1,1), c > 0. \tag{18}$$

Here $K_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) = e^{-2c}\displaystyle\int_{-1}^{1} e^{ct(x+y)}\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(t)dt \underset{by\ (15)}{=} e^{-2c}2^{\alpha+\beta+1}B(\alpha+1,\beta+1)\frac{M_{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2},\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}}(2c(x+y))}{(2c(x+y))^{\frac{\alpha+\beta+2}{2}}}$
and $\lambda_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c) = (\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c))^2$.

In the sequel we denote by $\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c)$ the nth GOSWFs, $-\chi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)$ denotes the nth eigenvalue of $\mathcal{D}_x$ corresponding to $\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c)$. Let also denote by $\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)$ the nth eigenvalue of $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ associated to $\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c)$.

We summarize below some basic properties of the GOSWFs

Proposition 1. *For any* $c > 0$ *and* $\alpha > -1$, $\beta > -1$, *we have*

(i) $\{\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *form a complete orthogonal system of* $L^2((-1,1), d\omega_{\alpha,\beta})$, *namely,*

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c)\psi_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c)\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(x)dx = (\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c))^2\delta_{mn}. \tag{19}$$

(v) $\{\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *form a complete orthogonal system of the bilateral weighted Laplace bandlimited functions given by,*

$$LB^c_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}} = \left\{ f(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} e^{c(xy-1)} g(y)\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(y)dy, \;\; g \in L^2((-1,1), d\omega_{\alpha,\beta}) \right\}.$$

(vi) The derivative of $\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)$ with respect to c is given by

$$\frac{\partial \mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)}{\partial c} = \frac{1}{\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)} \left(\frac{I_n(c)}{c} - (\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c))^2 \right) \tag{20}$$

where $I_n(c) = \int_{-1}^{1} v\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c) \frac{\partial \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c)}{\partial v} \omega_{\alpha,\beta}(v)dv.$

(vii) For all $x \in (-1,1)$ we have the following inequality: $|\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c)| \leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}$.

Proof: The propertie (i) can be derived from the theory of Hilbert Shmidt self adjoint operator, see [**?**]We restrict ourself here to prove (v) and (vi).

Let $f \in LB^c_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}}$, then there exists $g \in L^2((-1,1), d\omega_{\alpha,\beta})$ such that

$$f(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} e^{c(xy-1)} g(y) \omega_{\alpha,\beta}(y)dy. \tag{21}$$

Since $g \in L^2((-1,1), d\omega_{\alpha,\beta})$, then by (i) we have

$$g(y) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} c_k \psi_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y;c). \tag{22}$$

Combining (21) and (22) we obtain

$$f(x) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} c_k \mu_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c) \psi_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c). \tag{23}$$

This show that the set $\{\psi_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c), \ \ k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ span the set $LB^c_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}}$.

to achieve the proof of (v) we remark first that the set $LB^c_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}}$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with .

For the proof of (vi), we adopt the techniques used in [22] to prove a similar result for the eigenvalue of the finite Hankel transform. We differentiate both member of the following equality

$$\int_{-1}^{1} e^{c(vu-1)} \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(u) \omega_{\alpha,\beta}(u)du = \mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c) \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v) \ \ , v \in (-1,1). \tag{24}$$

with respect to c, to obtain

$$\int_{-1}^{1} (uv-1) e^{c(uv-1)} \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(u;c) \omega_{\alpha,\beta}(u)du + \int_{-1}^{1} e^{c(uv-1)} \frac{\partial \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(u;c)}{\partial c} \omega_{\alpha,\beta}(u)du =$$
$$\frac{\partial \mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)}{\partial c} \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c) + \mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c) \frac{\partial \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c)}{\partial c}. \tag{25}$$

Differentiating (24) with respect to v, one gets

$$\int_{-1}^{1} u e^{c(uv-1)} \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(u;c) \omega_{\alpha,\beta}(u)du = \frac{\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)}{c} \frac{\partial \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c)}{\partial v}. \tag{26}$$

Combining (25) and (26) to obtain

$$v \frac{\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)}{c} \frac{\partial \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c)}{\partial v} - \int_{-1}^{1} e^{c(uv-1)} \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(u;c) \omega_{\alpha,\beta}(u)du \quad +$$
$$\int_{-1}^{1} e^{c(uv-1)} \frac{\partial \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(u;c)}{\partial c} \omega_{\alpha,\beta}(u)du = \frac{\partial \mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)}{\partial c} \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c) + \mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c) \frac{\partial \psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c)}{\partial c}. \tag{27}$$

Multiply both sides of (27) by $\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v)$ and integrate over $(-1,1)$. One finds

$$\frac{\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)}{c}\int_{-1}^{1} v\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c)\frac{\partial\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c)}{\partial v}\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(v)dv - \mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)\|\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(u;c)\chi_{(-1,1)}\|_2^2$$
$$\int_{-1}^{1}\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c)\int_{-1}^{1} e^{c(uv-1)}\frac{\partial\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(u;c)}{\partial c}\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(u)\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(v)dudv =$$
$$\frac{\partial\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)}{\partial c}\|\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(.;c)\chi_{(-1,1)}\|_2^2 + \mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)\int_{-1}^{1}\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c)\frac{\partial\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c)}{\partial c}\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(v)dv. \quad (28)$$

Using Fubini's Theorem and (24) together with the normalization of the GOSWFs, the equality (28) can be simply written as follows

$$\frac{\partial\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)}{\partial c} = \frac{1}{\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)}\left(\frac{I_n(c)}{c} - 1\right) \quad (29)$$

where $I_n(c) = \displaystyle\int_{-1}^{1} v\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c)\frac{\partial\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(v;c)}{\partial v}\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(v)dv$.

Finally, the proof of (vii) is based on the use of Schwartz inequality and the GOSWFs normalization.

Remark here that one can check numerically that the eigenvalues $\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)$ decay exponentially to 0. This statement was proved in the special cases of the PSWFs and Wang's GPSWFs. It will be the subject of a future work.

4 Numerical computation of the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the finite bilateral Laplace transform

To obtain the approximate spectrum of finite bilateral weighted Laplace transform we use the Gaussian quadrature method. More precisely, as it is done in [12], the following theorem provides a discretization formula for eigenproblem (**??**) as well as an interpolation formula for the approximate GOSWFs.

Theorem 1. *Let ϵ be a real number satisfying $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Let $K_\epsilon(\alpha,\beta,c)$ be the integer defined as follows*

$$K_\epsilon(\alpha,\beta,c) = \inf\{K\in\mathbb{N}, \frac{e^{2c}}{\sqrt{2K\pi}}\frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}K!\Gamma(K+\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma(K+\alpha+1)\Gamma(K+\beta+1)}{(2K+\alpha+\beta+1)(\Gamma(2K+\alpha+\beta+1))^2} \le \epsilon|\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}|\}.$$

Then for any $k \ge \max([2ec]+1, K_\epsilon)$, we have

$$\sup_{x\in[0,1]}\left|\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x;c) - \frac{1}{\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\omega_j e^{(cxy_j)}\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y_j;c)\right| < \epsilon \quad (30)$$

Here, $(y_j)_{1\le j\le n}$, denote the different zeros of $\mathbf{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$.

Remark 1. *Numerical evidences show that $\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)$ decay rapidly to 0 when n goes to $+\infty$.*

5 Applications of the GOSWFs and numerical results

In this section, we give two applications of the GOSWFs: The fisrt application, deals with the approximation of bilateral Laplace band-limited by the use of the GOSWFs. As a second application of the GOSWFs, we use them to invert the bilateral Laplace transform of time limited functions. We provide the reader by several numerical results that illustrate these applications as well as of the eigenvalues $\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)$ for different values of α, β and c and some curves of GOSWFs .

5.1 GOSWFs and approximation of bilateral Laplace band-limited signals

Similarly to was done in [23], for the PSWFs, and in [15] for the CPSWFs, we can show that the GOSWFs solve the problem of signal concentration energy, which is an important problem from signal processing. More precisely, we assert that among the set $LB^c_{\omega_{\alpha,\beta}}$ of bilateral Laplace band-limited signals with bandwidth $c>0$, $\psi_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(.;c)$ has the most concentrated energy on $(-1,1)$. More generally, for any integer $n\geq 1$, $\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(.;c)$ is the $(n+1)$th most concentrated signal in $(-1,1)$ which is orthogonal to $\psi_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(.;c)$, $\ldots$, $\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(.;c)$. By the properties of the GOSWFs given in Proposition 1, for any $f\in L^2((-1,1),d\omega_{\alpha,\beta})$, the GOSWFs-based expansion formula of f over $(-1,1)$ is given by $f(t)=\sum_{n\geq 0}\alpha_n\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t;c)$. since $\int_{-1}^{1}\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t;c)\psi_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t;c)\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(t)dt=(\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c))^2\delta_{nm}$, then

$$|\alpha_n|=\frac{1}{(\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c))^2}\left|\int_{-1}^{1}f(t)\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t;c)\omega_{\alpha,\beta}(t)dt\right|\leq\frac{\|f\|_2}{|\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)|}$$

.

Let f_N^{GOSWFs} denotes the N-term truncated GOSWFs series expansion of f, given by

$f_N^{GOSWFs}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N}\alpha_n\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t;c),\ t\in(-1,1)$.

Since the sequence $\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)$ decay rapidly to 0 when n goes to ∞, and since $\|\psi_{n,c}\chi_{(-1,1)}\|_\infty\leq\frac{1}{\mathbf{P}_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)}$, then f_N^{GOSWFs} converges rapidly to f and $|f_N^{GOSWFs}(t)-f(t)|=O(\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c))$, $\forall t\in(-1,1)$. Moreover, if $f\in L^2((-1,1),d\omega_{\alpha,\beta})$, then $\lim_{N\to+\infty}\|f-f_N^{GOSWFs}\|_2=0$. In the sequel we denote by f_N^{Jacobi} the N-term truncated Jacobi series expansion of f, given by

$f_N^{Jacobi}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N}\alpha_n\mathbf{P}_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t;c),\ t\in(-1,1)$. We compare numerically, for a given N and a given function $f\in LB^c_{\omega(\alpha,\beta)}$, which function among f_N^{Jacobi} or f_N^{GOSWFs} approach the best f.

5.2 Numerical results

In this subsection we give several numerical results that illustrate the theoretical results of the previous sections. We have considered different values of the bandwidth c and the parameters α and β. Also, we have applied the Gaussian quadrature based method for the computation of the spectrum and the eigenfunctions of the finite weighted bilateral Laplace transform $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ with $N=40$ quadrature points. In table 1 we have listed the obtained eigenvalues $\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)$ with different values of the parameter c, α and β.

We remark easily that the GOSWFs are reduced to the PSWFs in the special case where the integral operator $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is replaced by $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_c=e^{ic}\mathcal{F}_{ic}^{(0,0)}$, Here $i^2=-1$. Hence the eigenvalues of the

n	$c=1$	$c=1$	$c=6$	$c=6$
	$(\alpha,\beta)=(0,0)$	$(\alpha,\beta)=(3,3)$	$(\alpha,\beta)=(6,7)$	$(\alpha,\beta)=(5,5)$
0	0.779836289	0.338455158	$0.199353974*10^{-2}$	$0.211037689*10^{-2}$
2	$0.328060086*10^{-1}$	$0.305509085*10^{-2}$	$0.242164507*10^{-3}$	$0.409615392*10^{-3}$
4	$0.178076210*10^{-3}$	$0.982704157*10^{-5}$	$0.146846578*10^{-4}$	$0.312721188*10^{-4}$
6	$3.771944953*10^{-7}$	$1.571133391*10^{-8}$	$5.322716251*10^{-7}$	$0.134135193*10^{-5}$
8	$4.247451396*10^{-10}$	$1.481487170*10^{-11}$	$1.279499739*10^{-8}$	$3.673872446*10^{-8}$
10	$2.966038648*10^{-13}$	$9.151623350*10^{-15}$	$2.179482513*10^{-10}$	$6.946790778*10^{-10}$
15	$8.099510876*10^{-22}$	$2.071915188*10^{-23}$	$2.426475139*10^{-15}$	$9.333247151*10^{-15}$
20	$4.268133206*10^{-31}$	$9.817546181*10^{-33}$	$6.690930862*10^{-21}$	$2.916259632*10^{-20}$

Table 1: Values of the eigenvalues $\mu_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(c)$ of the finite bilateral weighted Laplace transform $\mathcal{F}_c^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ corresponding to different values of parameter α, β and c.

GOSWFs coincides with those of the PSWFs. In table 2, we give some results of the eigenvalues of $\frac{c}{2\pi}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_c\circ(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_c)^*$, such values was given also in [13].

n	$\widetilde{c}=2$	$\widetilde{c}=4$	$\widetilde{c}=6$
0	$0.8805599223*10^{0}$	$0.9958854904*10^{0}$	$0.9999018826*10^{0}$
5	$1.9358522020*10^{-7}$	$0.3812917217*10^{-3}$	$0.2738716624*10^{-1}$
10	$2.1680118965*10^{-19}$	$4.5252284693*10^{-13}$	$2.2189805452*10^{-9}$
15	$1.6563615010*10^{-33}$	$3.5519079602*10^{24}$	$1.0163838373*10^{-18}$
20	$4.7105458228*10^{-49}$	$1.0352225590*10^{-36}$	$1.7132439301*10^{-29S}$

Table 2: Values of the eigenvalues of $\frac{c}{2\pi}\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_c\circ(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_c)^*$, corresponding to the different values of parameter c.

For the computation of the GOSWFs over $(-1,1)$ by the first method, we have used the equality (**??**) with a maximum truncation order $K=10$, see Figure 1. For the computation of the GOSWFs over $(-1,1)$ by the second method, we have used the equality (30) with a maximum truncation order $K=10$ quadrature points, see Figure 2 and Figure 3. Remark, that for $\alpha=\beta$, $\psi_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(.;c)$ has the same parity as its order n contrarily to the case where $\alpha\neq\beta$.

As a comparison between the approximation of functions in LB_ω by the use of the GOSWFs and Jacobi polynomials, we give the curves of three functions in LB_ω, their approximation by the GOSWFs and also by the Jacobi polynomials, see figures 4-6.



Figure 1: Graphs of $\psi_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(.;c)$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ associated to the parameter $c = 2$ and $\alpha = \beta = 3$ by the differential operator based method



Figure 2: Graphs of the first four GOSWFs associated to the parameter $c = 2$ and $\alpha = 3$ and $\beta = 3$ by the Gaussian quadrature based method



Figure 3: Graphs of the first four GOSWFs associated to the parameter $c = 1$ and $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 3$ by the Gaussian quadrature based method



Figure 4: Curves of $F(x) = \dfrac{e^{-cx}}{c}\left(\dfrac{L!}{(-x)^{L+1}} - e^{2cx}\sum_{j=0}^{L}\dfrac{L!}{j!}\dfrac{(2c)^j}{(-x)^{L-j+1}}\right)$ (line) and its approximated signal F_N^{GOSWFs} (dot), F_N^{Jacobi} (dash) , where $N = 3$, $c = 5$, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$, and $L = 2$.



Figure 5: Curves of $F(x) = \pi I_1(-cx)$ (line) and its approximated signal F_N^{GOSWFs} (dot), F_N^{Jacobi} (dash) , where $N = 4$, $c = 5$, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 2$.



Figure 6: Curves of $F(x) = \dfrac{e^{-cx}}{c}\left((-1)^{\nu} * \sqrt{0.5\pi x} I_{\nu}(cx) K_{\nu}(\nu + 0.5, cx)\right)$ (line) and its approximated signal F_N^{GOSWFs} (dot), F_N^{Jacobi} (dash) , where $N = 5$, $\nu = 2$, $c = 6$, $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 1$.

References

[1] M.A. Al-Gwaiz, Sturm-Liouville Theory and Its Applications, Springer, 2007.

[2] G. E. Andrews, R. Asky and R. Roy, Special Functions Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, 1999

[3] H. B. Aouicha, M. Tahar, On the spectrum of the finite Laplace transform and applications, Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics 2012 Volume 6, Issue 2, Pages: 304-316

[4] C. J. Bowkamp, On spheroidal wave functions of order zero, J. Math. Phys. **26**, (1947), 79–92.

[5] E.A. Coddington, N. Levinson, Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.

[6] A. Erdelyi, Tables of Integral Transforms, Vol I McGRAW-HILL BOOK COMPANY, INC. 1954

[7] F. A. Grunbaum, and Y. Milen, The prolate spheroidal phenomenon as a consequence of bispectrality. Superintegrability in classical and quantum systems, 301312, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[8] E.L. Ince, Ordinary Differential Equations, Dover, New York, 1956.

[9] H. J. Landau and H. O. Pollak, Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty-III; The dimension of the space of essentially time and band-limited signals., Bell System Tech. J. 41 (1962), 1295–1336.

[10] H. J. Landau, H.O. Pollak, Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertaintyII, Bell System Tech. J. 40 (1961), 65–84.

[11] A. Karoui, I. Mehrzi, T. Moumni, Eigenfunctions of the Airys integral transform: Properties, numerical computations and asymptotic behaviors, *J. Math. Anal. Appl. 389 (2012) 9891005.*

[12] A. Karoui, Uncertainty Principles, Prolate Spheroidal Wave Functions and Applications, *Recent Developments in Fractals and Related Fields,* 165190, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, (2010).

[13] A. Karoui and T. Moumni, New efficient methods of computing the prolate spheroidal wave functions and their corresponding eigenvalues, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 24 (2008), pp. 269-289

[14] A. Karoui and T. Moumni, Spectral Analysis of the Finite Hankel Transform Operator and Circular Prolate Spheroidal Wave Functions, Comput. Appl. Math. 233 (2009), pp. 315-333

[15] Tahar Moumni, On essentially time and Hankel band-limited functions, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions Volume 23, 2012, 83-95.*

[16] C. Niven, On the Conduction of Heat in Ellipsoids of Revolution, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.,* **171,** (1880), 117-151.

[17] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, Charles W. Clark, NIST handbook of mathematical functions, Cambridge University Press, 2010

[18] J. B. Reade, Eigenvalues of positive definite kernels. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 14 (1983), no. 1, 152–157.

[19] J. B. Reade, Eigenvalues of positive definite kernels. II. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 15 (1984), no. 1, 137–142.

[20] J. Shen, T. Tang, L. Wang , Spectral Methods, Algorithms, Analysis and Applications, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics Volume 41 2011

[21] Y. Shkolnisky, Prolate spheroidal wave functions on a discIntegration and approximation of two-dimensional bandlimited functions, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 22,(2007) 235256.

[22] D. Slepian, Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty–IV: Extensions to many dimensions; generalized prolate spheroidal functions, Bell System Tech. J. **43** (1964), 3009–3057.

[23] D. Slepian, H.O. Pollak, Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty I, Bell System Tech. J. **40** (1961), 43–64.

[24] L. L. Wang and J. Zhang, A new generalization of the PSWFs with applications to spectral approximations on quasi-uniform grids, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 29 (2010) 303-329.